

**GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT
LOK SABHA**

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO:4127

ANSWERED ON:14.12.2001

BLACK LISTING OF NGOS

C. KRISHNAN;CHANDRA BHUSHAN SINGH;GADDE RAMAMOCHAN;M.V.V.S MURTHI;SANAT KUMAR MANDAL;SHIVAJI MANE;TARACHAND BHAGORA;VAIKO;VIRENDRA KUMAR

Will the Minister of SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT be pleased to state:

- (a) whether the Government are aware that a large number of Non-Governmental Organisations are not working according to the guidelines laid down therefor;
- (b) if so, whether the Government have recently black listed certain Non-Governmental Organisations;
- (c) if so, the details of those NGO's State-wise alongwith the reasons therefor;
- (d) the details of funds allotted to these NGO's during each of the last three years;
- (e) whether the Government have registered any case or made any inquiry for misutilisation of funds, NGO-wise;
- (f) if so, the details thereof, NGO-wise;
- (g) whether the Government are considering to monitor the activities of the NGO's more strictly; and
- (h) if so, the steps proposed to be taken in this regard?

Answer

THE MINISTER OF SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT (DR. SATYANARAYAN JATIYA)

(a) to (f) Instance come to the notice of the Government where as a result of inspection or information from other sources, a grantee organization is found to be non-existent, or misutilising the grant, or the quality of services delivered by it is not commensurate with the funds released. In such cases further release of grant-in-aid to the NGO is suspended. A show cause notice is issued to the management of the organization and if the explanation is found to be unsatisfactory then action is taken to blacklist the organization, and State Government/District Collector concerned is asked to seize the assets created out of the Government assistance, dispose of the same, deposit the monies thus collected with the Government and recover the balance amount as arrears of land revenue.

A list of NGOs whom grant-in-aid has been suspended/blacklisted alongwith funds allotted during the last three years and reasons are annexed.

(g) & (h) Yes Sir. The working of the NGOs assisted by this Ministry is monitored and evaluated from time to time. These organizations are inspected by representatives of the State Governments and the Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment and where it is found that the delivery of services is not commensurate with the funds released by the Ministry, the NGO is advised to improve its working. In case of failure to improve or clear defalcation of funds by NGO, further release of grant-in-aid is suspended and the NGO is blacklisted after following the prescribed procedure and process initiated for recovering the misutilised grant.

ANNEXURE

STATEMENT REFERRED TO IN REPLY TO PART (a) to (f) OF THE LOK SABHA UNSTARRED QUESTION NO.4127 T
ANSWERED ON 14.12.2001

NAME OF THE NGOS WHOM GRANT-IN-AID HAS BEEN SUSPENDED/BLACKLISTED ALONGWITH FUNDS REL
DURING THE LAST THREE YEARS AND REASONS

Sl	Name of the NGO	Grant-in-aid released	Reasons for blacklisting
	(Rs. in lakhs)		
	98-99	99-2000	2000-01

1.	Yuvajana Vikalanula Samkeshma Sangam, Kummara Palem Road, Vinukonda-522647, Guntur,	0 0.22 0	This organization was sanctioned a grant of Rs. 22,351/- during 1999-2000
----	---	----------	---

- Distt. Andhra Pradesh against which Rs. 23,671/-has been recovered from the organization including an interest of Rs. 1320/-.
2. Zilla Vikalangula Sangam, 2.16 15.66 0 The organization has been filed Dakshinam Bazar, Venukonda a Writ Petition No 9578/2001 in -522647, Guntur, Andhra Pr. the High Court of Andhra Pr., Hyderabad, against the blacklisting. Therefore efforts for recovery will be made only after the Judgment of the Court.
3. Navodaya Seva Sangam, 0 0.51 0 As per the instructions of the H.No.10-107, Netaji Road, JS (NGO) that the recovery of Jadcherla, Mahaboobnagar the grant is not feasible, Distt. Andhra Pradesh blacklisting should be enough. However the file has been submitted for orders in this regard.
4. Learning in the Field of 0 1.85 0 The concerned State Government Training, Flat No.302, Rocky and District Collector was Apartments Venkatreddy Colony, requested for recovery of Sikh Colony, Secunderabad, 1,84,860/- along with 6% penal Andhra Pradesh interest from the dated of releasing the grant to the organization on 31.07.2000. Reply from the State Government is awaited
5. Social Service Society for 0 0.51 0 This organization was Poor People, 1/2909, Tharaka sanctioned a grant of Rs. Ramapuram Dharamavaram, 50,670/- during 1999-2000 Ananthapur Distt. Andhra Pr. against which Rs. 54,106/-has been recovered from the organization including an interest of Rs. 3436/-
6. Indian Institute of Rural 0 0 0 On the basis of complaint from Reconstruction and Social CCD that the recommendations Change, Jehanabad, Bihar from the State Government has obtained fraudulently the organization was blacklisted. But the organization got the order quashed by High Court of Patna with the direction to given fresh notice. A notice was given and the reply received has been referred to the State Government. State Government has sent the recommendations for the years 1998-99 to 2000-2001. The grant for the years has been taken up.
7. Gramin Vikas Sangathan, 0 0 0 On the basis of complaint from Koramuttu, Gaya, Bihar. CCD that the recommendations from the State Government has obtained fraudulently, the organization was blacklisted.
8. Bagini Niketan, 10/Tulsi Marg 0 0.38 0 As per the instructions of the Baug Colony, Near Core House JS (NGO) that the recovery of Ambawadi, Ahmedabad, Gujarat. the grant is not feasible, blacklisting should be enough.
9. Uni Gramodyog Rachnatamak 0 0 2.71 The organization was not Samiti, Distt. Surendra Nagar, functioning satisfactorily

Gujarat

10. Rajiv Gandhi Memorial Pre- examination Coaching Centre, New Ram Mandir, Bidar-585401 7.52 0 0 Non-existence. Grant were receiving on forged recommendations of the State Government
11. Independent Pre-examination Coaching Centre, No.1, Muniyappa Layout, New Police Station Road, K.R. Puram, Bangalore, Karnataka 4.70 0 0 Non-existence. Grant were receiving on forged recommendations of the State Government
12. Jan Kalyan Samaj Vikas Sanstha, Osmanabad, Maharashtra 4.80 0 0 The Centre was found to be non-functional at the time of inspection.
13. International Mission of Dr. Ambedkar Education Society, Nagpur, Maharashtra 7.16 6.79 3.40 The Centre was non-existent at the given address.
14. Apang Association, Amravati, Maharashtra 9.67 15.95 6.40 The Centre was non-existent at the given address.
15. Tantrik Prashikshan Sansthan, Amravati, Maharashtra 5.10 7.02 3.51 The Centre was non-existent at the given address.
16. Shiv Shakti Education Society, Nagpur, Maharashtra 3.18 6.77 3.40 The Centre was non-existent at the given address.
17. Apang Mahila Mandal, Amravati, Maharashtra 5.00 2.00 0 The recommendation of the State Government was found forged.
18. Savitribai Jyotirao Phule Samaj Sewa Sansthan, Akola, Maharashtra 5.00 2.50 0 The recommendation of the State Government was found forged.
19. Akshar Sarvajanic Vachanalaya, Akola, Maharashtra 9.52 2.00 0 The recommendation of the State Government was found forged.
20. Apang Association, Amravati, Maharashtra 4.84 2.40 0 The recommendation of the State Government was found forged.
21. National Youth Service Action and Social Development and Research Institute, Dhenkanal, Orissa 3.64 0 0 On the basis of report of the State Govt. of Orissa in 2000-01 that the project for rehabilitation centre is not functioning the organization was issued a show cause notice. The reply received has been referred to the State Govt. for clarifications. Reply from the State Government has not yet been received. Meanwhile an inspection report of the Ministry's officer has been received and on the basis of that report necessary steps

have been taken by the Ministry
to initiate recovery proceedings
and blacklist the organisation.

22. Enmasse Counseling Technical 0 0 9.13 The organization was not
Centre, Chennai, Tamil Nadu functioning satisfactorily
23. Karunodaya Sewa Sansthan, 5.10 6.80 3.40 The Centre was found to be
Mirzapur, U.P. non-functional at the time of
inspection.
24. Mahila Chetna Samiti, N-6/2 3.06 7.34 0 As per the instructions of the
B-83, Indira Nagar, Chaitapur, JS (NGO) that the recovery of
Post-Sundarpur, Varanasi, the grant is not feasible,
Uttar Pradesh blacklisting should be enough.
However the file has been
submitted for orders in this
regard.
25. Awadh Sansthan, Ramghat, 2.46 9.00 0 As per the instructions of the
Ayodhya, Faizabad, Uttar Pr. JS (NGO) that the recovery of
the grant is not feasible,
blacklisting should be enough.
26. Propkari Sansthan, LS-2/648, 0.59 1.69 0 The concerned State Government
Sector-F, Janakipuram, and District Collector was
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh. requested for recovery of Rs.
2,27,475/- along with 6% penal
interest from the dated of
releasing the grant to the
organization on 16.08.2000.
Reply from the State Government
is awaited
27. Bhartiya Gramin Kshetra 0.88 0.98 0 The concerned State Government
Gramodyog Vikas Samiti, Jai and District Collector was
Narain Verma Road, Fatehgarh, requested for recovery of
Farukhabad, Uttar Pradesh 1,85,288/- along with 6% penal
interest from the dated of
releasing the grant to the
organization on 16.08.2000.
Reply from the State Government
is awaited.
28. Manav Shiksha Prasar Samiti, 1.66 1.66 - The concerned State Government
280/69, Tilak Nagar, Baghambari and District Collector was
Road, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh requested for recovery of
9,59,737/- along with 6% penal
interest from the dated of
releasing the grant to the
organization on 11.08.2000.
Reply from the State Government
is awaited
29. Shri Muralidhar Shiksha Kalyan 4.19 0 0 The organization was not
Samiti, Distt.: Jonpur, functioning satisfactorily
Uttar Pradesh
30. Ambedkar Gramodyog Seva 1.53 0 0 The organization was not
Sansthan, Distt.: Gorakhpur, functioning satisfactorily
Uttar Pradesh
31. Bal Vikas Avam Mahila Kalyan 23.12 5.00 0 On the basis of a complaint
Parishad, Nawab Ganj, from Member of Parliament in
Gonda, U.P. the year 99-00 the organization

was blacklisted. But on subsequent withdrawal of the complaint and receipt of representation from the organization the District Magistrate has been asked to conduct a detailed enquiry. The report is still awaited. Another reminder in this regard has been issued to the District Magistrate.

32. Rashtriya Samaj Kalyan Sansthan, Lucknow, U.P. 7.84 8.61 0 On the basis of a complaint from State Govt. of U.P. in 2000-01 that the recommendations have been obtained fraudulently the organization was blacklisted and the State Govt. was advised to initiate recovery proceedings.

33. Harijan Kalyan Samiti, Karouli, Lathouri, Distt. Ambedkar Nagar, U.P. 4.05 6.80 3.40 The Centre was found to be non-functional at the time of inspection.